

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/817,515	03/26/2001	Yoichiro Tauchi	450100-03081	7911		
20999	7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER			
	LAWRENCE & HAU	NGUYEN, HUY THANH				
	VENUE- 10TH FL. , NY 10151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2616			
				DATE MAIL ED. 05/00/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 2 2					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		09/817,515	TAUCHI ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
_		HUY T. NGUYEN	2616				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover shee	it with the correspondence add	iress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the provision of the	136(a). In no event, however, many minimum control will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this corne ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status	·						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)□		— s action is non-final.					
3)□							
		Ex parte Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application						
-:-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
	is/are allowed.						
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7)[
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 又	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H S (C & 119(a)_(d) or (f)				
	\boxtimes All b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	r priority under 55 6.6.	3. § 113(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
,	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		n Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Burea			, tago			
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received.				
Attachmen		_					
1) ⊠ Notic 2) ☐ Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Intervie Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) 🔲 Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claim 7 directs to information stored on a medium. Since the information do not provide any functional interrelationship to the medium to control accessing the information or reading out the information, or impart to any software or hardware structural components to provide certain function that is processed by a computer, the information do not make them statutory. See MPEP 2100.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Senshu et al (6,658,195).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Senshu teaches a magnetic tape recording apparatus for recording digital data on a magnetic tape by using a rotary head,(columns 3-4, Figs. 2 and 3) comprising:

first acquisition means for acquiring a first group of data including video data.

audio data or search data;

second acquisition means for acquiring a second group of data including subcode data related to said first group of data;

synthesizing means for synthesizing data by combining sald first group of data and said second group of data so that both groups of data are continuous on the tracks of said magnetic tape without being separated, there is no gap between the audio - video sector and subcode sector (Fig. 3, column 5) and audio and subcode; and

supply means for supplying the synthesized data to said rotary head so that the synthesized data is recorded on said magnetic tape (Fig. 3).

Method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 5-6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6, Senshu further a program stored in a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since the generating video, audio subcode and arranging the data on a track of the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

5. Claims 1 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Oguro (6,026,212).

Application/Control Number: 09/817,515 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Oguro (Fig. 9) teaches a magnetic tape recording apparatus for recording digital data on a magnetic tape by using a rotary head (column 1, lines 15-40, column 8, line 50 to column 9, line 20), comprising:

first acquisition means ((5,9) for acquiring a first group of data including video data. audio data or search data;

second acquisition means (10) acquiring a second group of data including subcode data related to said first group of data;

synthesizing means (8) for synthesizing data by combining said first group of data and said second group of data so that both groups of data are continuous on the tracks of said magnetic tape without being separated, there is no gap between the audio, video sector and subcode sector (Fig. 1); and

supply means for supplying the synthesized data to said rotary head so that the synthesized data is recorded on said magnetic tape (Fig. 9,1).

Method claims 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claim 1. Therefore method claims 5 and 6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6, Oguro further a program stored in a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since the generating video, audio subcode and arranging the data on a track of the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 09/817,515 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senshu in view of Ohkuma et al (5,574,570).

Regarding claim 2, Senshu fails to teach that the video data is high definition video data and use a compressing means for compressing the high definition video data.

Ohkuma teaches an recording and reproducing apparatus having means for selecting a received high definition video signal or standard definition video signal and compressing the selected video signal by a compressing means and recording the compressed video signal on a tracks of a tape (Figs. 15,21, column 13, lines 15-40, column 17, lines 10-45).

It would have been obvious to o of ordinary skill in the art to modify Senshu with Ohkuma by using a compressing means as taught by Okuma with the apparatus of Senshu for compressing a received high definition and recording high definition video signal or standard definition video signal thereby enhancing the function of the apparatus Senshu.

Regarding claim 4, Senshu as modified with Okuma further teaches a third acquisition means for acquiring compressed standard definition video data (See Ohkuma Figs.14, 15)'wherein the high definition video data acquired by sald first means includes identification information for acquisition identifying the high definition video data as the standard definition video data (See Ohkuma column 13, lines 10-40); and

said synthesizing means select either the high definition video data compressed by said compression means or the standard definition video data acquired by sald acquisition means so that combination processing on the selected video data is performed (See Ohkuma Fig. 15 b, column 13, line 40 to column 14line 6).

8. Claims 8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkuma et al (5,574,570) in view of Senshu et al .

Regarding claim 8, Ohkuma discloses a magnetic tape reading apparatus (Figs. 15, 21 and 23) comprising: a rotary head (8) for reading a magnetic tape (9) on which a first group of data including compressed high definition or standard definition video data, audio data, and search data, and a second group of data including subcode data related

to sald first group of data are continuously recorded on the tracks of sald magnetic tape (Fig. 23, column 1, lines 10-25) comprising:

first decompression means (31,32, Fig, 15(b)) for, among the data read from said magnetic tape by said rotary head decompressing the compressed high definition video data;

second decompression means (27,28, Fig. 15b) for among the data read from sald magnetic tape by said rotary head, decompressing the compressed standard definition video data;

detection means for, from the data read from sald magnetic tape by sald rotary head, detecting identification information for identification either the high definition video data or the standard definition video data (column 13, lines 30-40); and

selection means for selectively controlling, based on the result of detection by said detection means, one of said first decompression means and sald second decompression means to process the data read from said magnetic tape by said rotary head (column 13, line 50 to column 14, line 6).

Ohkuma fails to teach that the subcode and video or audio is without being separated. Senshu teaches a synthesizing means for synthesizing the video and subcode without being separated (Fig. 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohkuma with Senshu by using a synthesizing means as taught by Senshu with the apparatus of Ohkuma for processing the video and subcode data without being separated during the recording thereby increasing the useful space of the tape for recording main data.

Method claims 10 and 11 correspond to apparatus claim 8. Therefore method claims 10-11 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 9.

Further for claim 11, Ohkuma as modified with Senshu teaches a medium for storing a program to perform the method of claim 11 since the method of claim 11 is perform under a controller for selecting the high definition video signal or standard definition to be decoded and processed for reproducing the video signal.

9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkuma in view of Senshu as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Lee (5,940,016).

Regarding claim 9, Ohkuma fails to teach using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG for decoding the reproduced video signal.

Lee teaches using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG system for decoding a reproduced compressed MPEG video signal (column 6 lines 7-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art t modify Ohkuma with Lee for using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG system as an alternative method for decoding the reproduced video signal when the video signal is compressed by MPEG system.

10. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senshu et al in view of Ohkuma et al as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Lee (5,940,016).

Regarding claim 3, Senshu fails to teach using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG for decoding the reproduced video signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,515

Art Unit: 2616

Lee teaches using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG system for decoding a reproduced compressed MPEG video signal (column 6, lines 7-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art t modify Ohkuma with Lee for using MP@HL or MP@H-14 in the MPEG system as an alternative method for decoding the reproduced video signal when the video signal is compressed by MPEG system.

11. Claims 1,5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanota et al (5,426,538).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Kanota teaches a magnetic tape recording apparatus for recording digital data on a magnetic tape by using a rotary head (Fig. 1 and 2),(columns 3-4, Figs. 2 and 3) comprising:

first acquisition means for acquiring a first group of data including video data.

audio data or search data;

second acquisition means for acquiring a second group of data including subcode data related to said first group of data;

synthesizing means for synthesizing data by combining sald first group of data and said second group of data so that both groups of data are continuous on the tracks; and

supply means for supplying the synthesized data to said rotary head so that the synthesized data is recorded on said magnetic tape (Fig. 3).

Kanota further teaches that separated audio data areas can merge into a single area without any space therebetween (column 8, lines 30-46) but fails teach that the

video data and subcode data are synthesized with out space therebetween. However, it is noted that eliminating a part and its function is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art (See Elimination of an element and its function---In re Karlson, 153 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963). Therefore, it would have bee obvious to on of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kanota by using the teaching of Kanota for eliminating means for generating space between video data, audio at and subcode data of the apparatus of Kanota to provide the subcode data and video data without any space therebetween .

Page 10

Therefore method claims 5 and 6 are rejected by the same reason as applied to apparatus claim 1.

Further for claim 6, Oguro further teaches a program stored on a medium for performing the method of claim 6 since generating video, audio subcode and arranging the data on a track of the tape is controlled by a controller of the apparatus.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Faile can be reached on (571) 272-7375. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/817,515

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 11

H.N